

Local Pension Board

Date: 20th December 2023

Risk Management and Internal Audit (for information and discussion)

Report of the Head of Pensions

Purpose of Report

- This report contains the current Fund level Risk Register and provides an overview of the internal audit programme.
- 2. The Board is asked for its views on the Risk Register and the internal audit programme.
- 3. The Board is recommended to note the report.

Contact Officer:

Paul McCann, Head of Pensions

Background

- 4. Pensions Committee has delegated the quarterly monitoring of the Risk Register and the internal audit programme to the Local Pension Board. The Committee will, however, continue to receive annual reports on these matters.
- 5. The Fund's approach to the review, control and internal reporting of risk is set out below:
 - the Risk Register is reviewed quarterly, or more frequently if required, by officers and the updated document is posted on the Fund's website;
 - the Local Pension Board reviews the Risk Register in full each quarter;
 - the Committee receives an annual report on the Risk Register;
 - the Committee and the Board include risk management in their training programme. The training event in March 2023 included a session on risk management.
- 6. The approach to the preparation, implementation and internal reporting of the internal audit programme is set out below:
 - an annual report, prepared by the Assurance and Risk Manager, is usually
 presented to the Committee at the June meeting and thereafter to the Local
 Pension Board. This includes the forthcoming programme for the coming
 year, along with a summary of the audits undertaken in the past year;
 - the programme is undertaken by the Council's Internal Audit Service and Deloitte (internal audit partner);
 - completed audits are posted in the password protected part of the Fund's website;
 - a summary of the outcome of each audit is presented to the Local Pension Board at each quarterly meeting;
 - the Committee's attention will be drawn to any material audit findings.

Approach to Managing and Reporting on Risks

- 7. The Fund must identify and control the risks that it faces as part of the ongoing delivery of the service.
- 8. In addition to the Risk Register, the outcome of this process appears in a range of documents produced by the Fund. The requirement to include this information is often prescribed in regulations. For example:

- the Funding Strategy Statement includes a summary of risks to the funding strategy and the setting and collection of employer contributions;
- the Investment Strategy Statement includes a summary of risks to the delivery of the investment strategy;
- the Notes to the Report and Accounts include a summary of risks to the value of the Fund's assets.
- 9. The approach to the quarterly review of the Risk Register by the officers is set out in Appendix A to this report and is entitled "Risk Assessment Methodology". It is based on the net risk, i.e. the risk that remains after all appropriate controls have been applied, which is ranked on a scale of:
 - Critical
 - High
 - Moderate
 - Minor.

Current Risk Register

- 10. The latest review of the Risk Register was undertaken on 29th November 2023. None of the risks have been assessed as Critical.
- 11. The most recent review of the Risk Register has seen two changes. These changes are summarised in the table below:

Risk		From	То	Reason
Ref	Title			
A4	There is inadequate monitoring of the performance of the total Fund, individual managers (including Border to Coast), Investment Programmes and custodians. Failure to undertake formal reviews, if required.	Probable / Negligible (Moderate)	Near Certain / Marginal (High)	Due to the continued increasing demands of responsible investment, particularly climate change, officers have reduced capacity for monitoring and engaging with Investment Managers on performance. This issue is further exacerbated following the loss of the risk attribution service previously provided by Portfolio Evaluation.

A10	In the short term there is insufficient cash for investment requirements or other commitments, including paying pensions.	Improbable / Negligible (Minor)	Possible / Negligible (Minor)	Following the 2019 and 2022 Valuations there is reduced contribution income each month. This challenge is being compounded by inflationary increases in pension benefit payments and large drawdown requests from the private markets programme.
-----	---	---------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

- 12. The general practice of the Local Pension Board is to review two risks in more depth at each quarterly meeting, one being a relatively high risk and one lower risk. For this quarter, the two risks selected are:
 - F8 High Risk (Likelihood Probable / Impact Marginal) Employees do not join or remain in the Fund, either for financial reasons, regulatory change or because their employer does not promote the scheme or structures employees away from the Scheme (scheduled and admitted bodies) or prevents membership (admitted bodies only). Fewer new starters re use of short-term contracts by employers allied to the two year vesting period in the new scheme.

This risk is one that poses significant challenge to the Fund. The consequences of a significant reduction in employees in the Fund could cause significant operational and financial issues. This could impact the Fund generally and its employers.

As a Fund we promote the benefits of LGPS membership to both employers and members. To build on this we have developed drafts of our Employer and Member Engagement Strategies. We also actively work with Employers who are considering outsourcing arrangements to give as much information as possible to allow smooth admission into the Fund and also reminding them of their legal obligations towards members. We also monitor the numbers of optouts of the Fund.

We conduct a quarterly review of employers where their participation could be nearing an end (where there has been large reductions in active membership, where contract could be coming to an end or where the members age could be a significant factor in ending participation). At these reviews we consider whether any appropriate action needs to be taken or strategies put in place in accordance with our Funding Strategy Statement. This also feeds into our annual covenant strength review cycle with Employers in the Fund.

Our aim is always to try and maintain as constant and stable contribution rates as possible between valuations for employers to aid with their budget planning.

 S1 Moderate Risk (Likelihood – Near Certain / Impact Negligible) – Benefits and payments are accessed by individuals who are not entitled to receive them.

This risk, although a moderate one, is very important as a failure could result in financial damage to the Fund and individual members. This in turn could be damaging to the Fund's reputation.

To avoid this risk manifesting we have a number of controls in place to mitigate the risk; we build a high degree of checks (both security and eligibility) into the system. We have an 'appointeeship' process in place for payments to third parties which can include vulnerable members. The Fund also participates in the National Fraud Initiative (NFI) programme.

We continue to use 'Tell Us Once' and the Scheme's National Insurance Database which have helped improve controls domestically. The use of Western Union has improved controls for overseas payments.

The Fund's controls were tested during an Internal Audit earlier in 2023 of the Verification Processes the Fund has in place. Although the audit only achieved 'moderate assurance', we have implemented a number of the recommendations identified, including updating policies and documenting procedures and providing training for staff in this area.

Despite controls to mitigate the risks in place, this risk cannot be completely dismissed. We will continue to keep monitor relevant changes in this area that may strengthen our position further.

13. A copy of the full Risk Register is attached to this report as Appendix B. The Board's views on the Risk Register are requested.

2023/24 Audit Programme

- 14. The audit plan for 2023/24 is now well underway.
- 15. Since the last report to Board, the Pensions Payroll Audit has concluded. This was a carry forward audit from the 22/23 plan. A rating of Substantial Assurance was awarded which is the second highest level of assurance that can be achieved.
- 16. From the audit there were two recommendations made, one medium priority and one low priority. Details of the recommendations and the management response is shown in the table below:

Recommendation Response Agreed that the process needs to identify (medium) key tasks, escalation procedures and a The Member Services Manager should: sign off after completion, which ties in with good governance and · Identify key monthly tasks and recommendations by the Pensions deadlines around the processing of the Regulator. TWPF monthly payrolls; Satisfactorily completion of the key monthly tasks be evidenced via independent review & sign-off prior to the commencement of the next stage of the process etc; Completion of each Monthly Payroll Checklist to be signed off by the Member Services Manager once they have confirmed all stages have been completed and checked. (Low) Whilst systems notes are important there is resource resilience across the service The internal payroll processing within the Member Services Team where guide/system note will be updated to there are a team of 8 Pensions Officers. reflect the transfer of payroll Additionally, there are 62 Pension responsibilities to TWPF and to better Officers across the Service who could reflect individual roles and assist in the running of the payroll if responsibilities to enable the document needed which offers a robustness to the to form the basis of payroll working process. practices / business continuity for TWPF should key members of staff be It is agreed that the Payroll Guide needs unavailable. to be updated to reflect the transfer of responsibility from South Tyneside's payroll dept to TWPF.

17. No further audits have completed in this quarter, but we understand some of the audits in the current plan are close to completion.

Recommendation

18. The Board is asked for its views on the Risk Register and the internal audit programme.

Reason for Recommendation

19. To ensure the risks and the internal audit programme are appropriately monitored and managed.

Risk Assessment Methodology

Impact

Impact/Area	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Governance	Little or no impact	Minor short term	Moderate short term	Sustained
Assets	Less than 1% of asset	1-5% of assets	5–15% of assets	Over 15% of assets
Liabilities/ Funding	Minor or no impact on liabilities/ funding level	Low impact on liabilities/ funding level	Significant but recoverable impact	Significant and irrecoverable
Legal	Minor short term	Moderate short term	Serious short term	Serious long term
Service Delivery	Minor short term	Moderate short term	Serious short term	Serious long term
Reputation	Minor short term	Moderate short term	Serious short term	Serious long term

Likelihood

Event is expected to occur	Near certain
Event should occur at some time	Probable
Event could occur at some time	Possible
Event may occur only in rare circumstances	Improbable

Appendix B

Risk Register

Review – 29 November 2023

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near certain	Moderate A7, S1	High S7, S9, A4	Critical	Critical
Probable	Moderate L4, L5, A6, A9,	High G1, F8, L1, S2, S3, S6, S14	Critical	Critical
Possible	Minor A5, A8, A10, A12, A13, F3, L6, L7, L8	Moderate G2, G5, R1, A1, A3, A15, F1, F5, S10, S13, S16, S17	High S12	Critical
Improbable	Minor	Minor	Moderate	High

Changes at this Review

	Risk	From	То	Reason
Ref	Title	110111	10	Reason
A4	There is inadequate monitoring of the performance of the total Fund, individual managers (including Border to Coast), Investment Programmes and custodians. Failure to undertake formal reviews, if required.	Probable / Negligible (Moderate)	Near Certain / Marginal (High)	Due to the continued increasing demands of responsible investment, particularly climate change, officers have reduced capacity for monitoring and engaging with Investment Managers on performance. This issue is further exacerbated following the loss of the risk attribution service previously provided by Portfolio Evaluation.
A10	In the short term there is insufficient cash for investment requirements or other commitments, including paying pensions.	Improbable / Negligible (Minor)	Possible / Negligible (Minor)	Following the 2019 and 2022 Valuation there is reduced contribution income each month. This challenge is being compounded by inflationary increases in pension benefit payments and large drawdown requests from the private markets programme.

Approach to Considering Risks

- 1. The Risk Register is scored on a net basis, which means after all controls have been applied.
- 2. The impact of Covid-19 is no longer considered a material risk to the Fund.

Notes on Potential Future Risks

- 3. The Scheme Advisory Board has commissioned a review of the Governance arrangements for pension funds. Hymans has produced a final report. Deloitte considered the SAB review as part of their annual governance review and confirmed the Fund's current approach was broadly consistent with the recommendations to date. The position will be reviewed as the Good Governance Review progresses further.
- 4. Aon has undertaken a review of the Fund's compliance with tPR Code of Practice 14. Aon concluded that the Fund was fully compliant in all areas, except for three where the Fund was found to be partially compliant. The tPR Code of Practice 14 is soon to be superseded by a single modular code. The position on risks will be reviewed once this is in place.
- 5. There are a number of risks that relate to investment pooling. In particular, risks G2 and A13 which are specific pooling related risks. At the current time they are still considered to be relevant. Other risks such as a failure of an investment manager process will also impact. As more assets transfer over to BCPP, a holistic review of the risk from pooling will need to be undertaken.
- 6. The impact of implementing the McCloud remedy and the introduction of Pensions Dashboards are considered through existing risks in the risk register. It has not been considered necessary to create McCloud or Dashboard specific risks.
- 7. This risk register does not cover in detail a number of investment related risks, as these are covered in the Investment Strategy Statement, such as illiquidity risk, currency risk and wider geopolitical risks.

Other Notes

8. None.

	Ref.	G1	Risk Owner	Head of Pensions	Updated	06/08/2021
Risk		,		of knowledge and I Pension Board.	understand	ding by the
Impact			poor ded advisors	cision making and	lack of chal	lenge to
Controls	Code Pens skills prote asses Availa strend been Induc Commatio	of Praions Consideration assuments of the section training needs	octice and ommittee amongst I gainst ture of skills of advisor governad by the (aining deland Boar owledge	Programme in place of Knowledge and S is and Local Pension Members. Size of the mover. Annual Compass and officers. Local Committee and the ivered to new mend members have upon Assessment which will help inform train	kills Frame on Boards. Committee se f governance learning Local Pension Local Pension bers. Marundertaken identified a	eworks for Diverse provides If ce structure. Board policy has sions Board. by the Hymans areas of

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable		XXXXX		
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible				
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
----------	----------	----------	-------

Action Required	Review CIPFA Knowledge and Skills Framework for Committee and Officers and then Committee and Board training self-assessment to be undertaken.
	Monitor emerging guidance on Board and Committee training requirements.

Risk	contro	ol over the may lead	nove to a e impleme d to sub c	Head of Pensions sset pooling the Fentation of the Inventions of the Invention of the Invention Fund. (see A	estment St being taker	educed trategy	
Impact	Invest the be burde	Potential for the Fund not being able to fully implement its Investment Strategy. May need to compromise on what is in the best interests of the Fund. Material short term governance burden as new arrangements are researched and put into place.					
Controls	pool a Extern Strate level. Audit rating	and ensur nal adviso egy and a undertak of substa	e the posons have I sset allocented by Defautial ass	e governance arrestion of the Fund been appointed. cation decisions relative on pooling gurance.	is protected emain at the governance	d. e Fund gave a	

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible		XXXXX	_	
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
Action Required	Monitor the position on go response.	overnment p	pooling and the consultation

					1			1	
		Ref			Head of Pension	s	Updated	As G1	
Risk		me incl Inv	As a result of hybrid working, the Fund may be unable to meet the more significant actions in the Service Plan. This includes but is not limited to the implementation of the Investment Strategy, McCloud and responding to Governance initiatives.						
Impac	t		/ projects de provements	•	•	_	service		
Contro	ols	mo hav imp	There is a quarterly review of the Service Plan in place. A modern approach to working has been adopted with staff having returned to the office 2-3 days per week to help improve operational efficiency. Priority needs to be given to those significant actions in the Service Plan which will have the biggest impact.					n staff elp	
Impact / Likelihood			Negligible	e Ma	rginal	Signifi	cant S	ubstantial	
Near (Near Certain		Mod	High		Critical	Cı	ritical	
Proba	ble		Mod	High		Critical	Cı	ritical	
Possil	Possible		Min	Mod	xxxx	High	Cı	itical	
Impro	Improbable Min		Min	Min		Mod	Hi	gh	
Net Ri	sk		Moderate		Strategy		Treat		
Action Requi									

								1
		Ref.	R1	Risk Owner	Head of Pensions	Upd	ated	As G1
Risk		Insufficient attention to environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues can lead to reputational damage.						
Impact		The Fund receives criticism for not fully observing and embracing this matter from scheme members and in the press. The Fund also needs to be aware of the statutory guidance in this respect which requires the Fund to make the pursuit of financial return its predominant concern. ESG factors can and should be taken into account but only if doing so would not involve significant risk of financial detriment to the scheme and believe that scheme members would support the decision.						
Contro	Is	The Fund's Investment Strategy Statement and Corporate Governance Policy cover the extent to which social, environmental and governance considerations are taken into account in the selection, retention and realisation of investments. Member of the LAPFF. The Fund has a Climate Change Policy and a range of climate related commitments. It has also published a Net Zero Roadmap and TCFD Report in 22/23. A number of actions are in the Service Plan and are monitored quarterly. The Fund is now a signatory to UK Stewardship Code 22/23						
lmpact Likelih		N	legligible	e Ma	rginal	Significant	s	ubstantial
Near C	ertain	М	od	High	(Critical	Cı	ritical
Probab	ole	М		High		Critical		ritical
Possib	le	Mi			XXX	High		ritical
Improb	able	Mi	n	Min		Mod	Hi	gh
								_

Net Risk	High	Strategy	Treat
Action Required	Website needs to be update Fund's approach to climate Develop quarterly monitor in the Net Zero Roadmap	te change r ring on the	•

		A1		Head of Pensions	Updated	
Risk	The funding level worsens or falls below 100% due to investment returns being below the levels assumed in the triennial valuations, i.e. relative return to discount rate.					
Impact	Reduced investment return, leading to the potential for higher employer contributions and greater volatility in level of contributions.					•
Controls	Valuation assumptions are discussed and agreed with the Actuary. Conservative return assumptions have been used when setting the discount assumption of 4.45% for the 2022valuation, and circa 4.6% for the Asset Liability Modelling (ALM), which had increased to 5.4% as at 31st March 2022. The actual return for the period from March 2022 to September 2023 is +1.33% which is below the required long-term returns. Interim health check of the Investment Strategy undertaken in 2023.				en used he Modelling h 2022. ired long-	

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible		Xxxxx		
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				

Net Risk Moderate	Strategy	Treat
-------------------	----------	-------

		Ref.	A3	Risk Owner	Head of Pensions	Updated	As G1
Risk		The Fund does not have an appropriate strategic benchmark and an appropriate investment management structure.					
Impac	t	Possible reduced investment return, leading to the potential for higher employers' contributions and greater volatility in level of contributions. Possible need to devise and implement a revised strategy. Non-compliance with Investment Principles.					in level of ent a
Contro	ols	The benchmark is reviewed in depth when up to date liability data becomes available after each triennial valuation. Interim studies and analysis are carried out in intervening years, as appropriate. 2022 review of Investment strategy completed and signed off by Committee in September 2022. A quarterly review of tactical positions also takes place. A currency hedge on US assets with L&G was implemented in March 2020. Undertook interim health check of the Investment Strategy in 2023.					

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod Xxxxx	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk Moderate Strategy Treat

Action Required	Continue to implement the 2022 Investment Strategy review.

		A4	Risk Owner	Principal Investment Manager	Updated		
Risk	There is inadequate monitoring of the performance of the total Fund, individual managers (including Border to Coast), Investment Programmes and custodians. Failure to undertake formal reviews, if required.						
Impact	Possible breach of statutory duty, leading to adverse audit reports and poor publicity. Possible reduced investment return. Selection process leading to assets under care and maintenance. Cost of transition of assets. Assets in limbo in the case of a corporate failure. Move to pooling may result in reduced direct access to the underlying investment managers.						
Controls	Comr Advis Have North meas Audit	nittee, Invort. Mont agreed a ern Trust urement. of investi	onitoring process is in place that involves the Investment Panel, Officers and the Investment onthly reconciliation of portfolios. If an approach to monitoring Border to Coast. Instantiated to carry out performance ont. Is stment monitoring undertaken in 2022-23 gave ssurance.				

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Na sa Osataia	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain		Xxxxx		
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible				
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Minor	Strategy	Treat
----------	-------	----------	-------

Action	Implement recommendations from Investment Monitoring audit.
Required	
_	Consider alternative service providers for risk and attribution
	monitoring.

	Ref.	A5	Risk Owner	Principal Investment Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk				nvestment manages that significantly	• •	•
Impact	Reduced investment return, but at a level that is unlikely to be of significance to employers' contributions. Possible need to review the affected manager's investment process. Potential for adverse publicity					need to
Controls	Investment strategy is subject to continuous review by managers. Investment Panel and Officers review strategy quarterly. Investment structure is well diversified. Investment Advisor and others available to provide advice, market intelligence and views. Some Assets are currently being held in passive funds until Border to Coast pooling arrangements present suitable alternatives. The move to pooling will diversify our exposure to the underlying managers which should reduce the risk. However, as more assets transfer over there will be more direct risk from Border to Coast as our investment manager. Work closely with Border to Coast on the development of new					rategy nvestment ket eing held gements e However, ct risk from

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min xxxxx	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Minor	Strategy	Treat
----------	-------	----------	-------

Action	
Required	

		Ref.	A6	Risk Owner	Principal Investment Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		The failure of an investment, or broker or stock lending counterparty.					
Impac	t	Trades fail, potential for assets (securities and / or cash) to be in limbo or lost.					
Contro	ols	Managers review counterparties and individual investments. Collateral and indemnities, custodian controls and counterparty limits in place for stock lending.					

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod xxxxx	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
Action Required	Monitor the position on M Assignment Agreement so responding to this.		

		Ref.	A7	Risk Owner	Principal Investment Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		An investment manager is underperforming against its investment mandate.					
Impac	:t	Reduced investment return, but at a level that is unlikely to be of significance to employers' contributions. Possible need to review the affected managers' investment process. Potential for adverse publicity.					
Contr	ols	Investment strategy is subject to continuous review by the Fund. Investment Panel and Officers review strategy quarterly. Investment structure is well diversified. Investment Advisor available to provide proactive advice. Some assets have been moved to passive until BCPP pooling arrangements present suitable alternatives. Where material underperformance is identified, further consideration is given to enhanced monitoring procedures and additional meetings with the managers.					y nvestment e assets er

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod xxxxx	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk Moderate Strategy Treat

Action	Meet with BCPP to discuss underperforming UK Equities
Required	mandate.

_

		Ref. A8 Risk Owner Investment Manager Updated As G1					
Risk		There is an act of fraud and/or a breach of the investment guidelines by an investment manager (including Border to Coast).					
Impac	t	Reduced investment return, but at a level that is unlikely to be of significance to employers' contributions. Possible need to review the affected managers' investment process. Potential for adverse publicity.					
Contro	ols	Each manager's strategy is subject to continuous review by the Investment Panel and Officers. Monthly monitoring of portfolios is in place. Investment Advisor available to provide proactive advice. Managers' internal control reports are reviewed annually as part of the closedown processes (including BCPP). Regulatory framework within which investment managers operate.					

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min xxxxx	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net RiskMinorStrategyTreat

Action		
Required		

		Ref.	A9	Risk Owner	Head of Pensions	Updated	As G1	
Risk		That in the longer term the amount of cash coming into the Fund reduces to a level that is not sufficient to cover outgoings. This is caused by the scheme naturally maturing. The speed at which it matures is being impacted by ER/VR programmes and employers restricting access to new members to the scheme.						
Impact		Decreased cash flow to rebalance structure and to meet large cash requirements such as a property purchase. Impending need to withdraw income or capital from managers in the medium term and to review the structure in the long term.						
Contro	ls	Monthly monitoring of cash flow and of membership movements. Asset Liability Modelling (ALM) work undertaken to model the optimal structure. Latest estimate of cash flow position suggests the Fund could still increase its allocation to private markets and stay cashflow positive (including investment income). Moved L&G investments and BCPP IGC and MAC to income producing units.						

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	XXXXX			
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible				
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat

Action	Continue to monitor the cashflow position.
Required	Review the process for reconciling in preparation for monthly
_	contributions posting.

	Ref. A10 Risk Owner Principal Investment Manager Updated As G1						
Risk	In the short term there is insufficient cash for investment requirements or other commitments, including paying pensions.						
Impact	Possible forced and disorderly realisation of assets to meet commitments if the position cannot be covered by temporary borrowing.						
Controls	position Also a Ongo Lates	on. Powe a monthly ing annua t agreed i Move to	er to borro review o al review nvestmei	kly review of the vow to cover certain for the cash flow poof cashflow position strategy include producing units w	n types of sosition. on. es a 1% allo	shortfall.	

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min xxxxx	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Minor	Strategy	Treat
Action Required	Continue to monitor the ca	ashflow pos	sition.

		Ref.	A12	Risk Owner	Principal Investment Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		The Fund is unable to properly deal with its overseas tax liabilities and meet the requirements of overseas tax authorities.					
Impac	t	Over or under recovery of tax. Failure to manage filing obligations. Penalties imposed by tax authorities.					ng
Contro	ols	Deloitte has previously carried out audit work and provided Adequate (second highest) assurance. Controls are comparable to those at other funds. Regular discussion with managers to evaluate positions. Use of blocking structures. The Fund had advice from Deloitte in Oct 2018 on the completion of tax exemption forms. With a move to pooling the responsibility for structuring investments to minimise tax leakage and ensure appropriate filing of returns now largely rests with BCCP.					sion with uctures. ne

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min xxxxx	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Minor	Strategy	Treat
Action	Need to understand and go	•	ce from Border to Coast on
Required	their approach to tax man		Need to review Border to

Continue to monitor tax status in overseas jurisdictions.

	Ref.	A13	Risk Owner	Principal Investment Manager	Updated	As G1	
Risk	to Bo	Following the move to asset pooling and the transfer of assets to Border to Coast this may not result in an improvement in net returns (See also G2).					
Impact	costs True i	Potential for lower gross and net investment returns, transition costs and forced sale of investments at a sub optimal price. True impact on performance over the longer term will not be known for several years.					
Controls	and a Monit under active each the co Comr Borde	Contribute to the Pool's deliberations in this area. Strategy and asset allocation decisions remain at the Fund level. Monitoring of the performance of Border to Coast products is undertaken by the Fund and Portfolio Evaluation. The Fund is actively involved in the transition advisors and managers for each transition with Border to Coast. The Fund is monitoring the costs and savings from pooling and reporting this to the Committee and the Local Board. Border to Coast products on property, and regional equities are under consideration.				vel. oducts is e Fund is gers for onitoring s to the	

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible	XXXXX			
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	Minor	Strategy	Treat / Tolerate
Action Required	Consider approach to reg	ional equitio	es.

		Ref.	A15	Risk Owner	Principa Investme	ent	Updated	As G1	
Risk		Thor	Manager						
RISK		suffic	The potential impact of climate change has not been sufficiently reflected in the Fund's Investment Strategy or by the Investment Managers which could lead to financial losses.						
Impac	et	change complete compl	Investment valuations are reduced as the impact of climate change is recognised in the investment market, affecting company asset valuations, revenue prospects, profitability and even company viability. The Fund receives criticism from members and the wider public for not confronting this matter. The Fund is perceived to have failed to comply with the law and statutory guidance on addressing climate change as a financial risk.						
Contr	ols	Climate change is recognised as a specific financial risk in the Fund's Investment Strategy Statement and Corporate Governance and Responsible Investment Policy. The documents say how climate change is taken into account in the selection, retention and realisation of investments as part of the wider approach to social, environmental and governance considerations. Carbon footprint review undertaken annually. Climate Change Policy and Net Zero Roadmap in place and TCFD report now produced annually.							
Impac Likelil		N	legligible	e Ma	rginal	Signi	ficant	Substantial	
Near (Certain	M	od	High		Critical	С	ritical	
Proba	ıble		od	High		Critical		ritical	
Possi	ble	М			xxxx	High		ritical	
Impro	bable	М	in 	Min		Mod	H	igh	
Net Ri	isk	Moderate Strategy Treat					at		
Action Requi		and r oppo Work	Challenge Investment Management on engagement process and reporting on exposure to climate related risks and opportunities. Work with Border to Coast on Responsible Investment Policy. Deliver against the actions in the Net Zero Roadmap.						

Risk	Ref.			Head of Pensions ns or falls below	Updated		
		The funding level worsens or falls below 100%, due to outturn differing from valuation assumptions.					
Impact	Potential for higher employer contributions and greater volatility in level of contributions. Financial strain on employers. Possibility of employer failure amongst smaller employers. Withdrawal from the Fund of non-scheduled employers.						
Controls	actua liabilit proce intere requir and a (GAD	Valuation assumptions are discussed and agreed with the actuary and employers. Cessation valuations for orphan liabilities have a matched strategy. Cost Management process will assist. Have considered use of inflation and interest rate protection with Hymans Robertson – no action required at present. Increased scrutiny of valuation strategies and assumptions by Government Actuary's Department (GAD). Aon provide quarterly funding updates during non-valuation					

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible		Xxxxx	_	
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
Action Required			

		Ref.	F3	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1			
Risk		inade	Member data and record keeping is of poor quality due to inadequate data flows from an employer or inadequate data cleansing.							
Impac	t	Failure to comply with the Public Service Pensions (Record Keeping and Miscellaneous Amendment) Regulations 2014 and Pensions Regulator's guidance. Incorrect benefits could be paid causing reputational damage and fines for maladministration. In extreme cases, poor data could affect Fund valuations as the funding strategy could be inappropriate and the declared funding level incorrect. Failure to meet regulatory deadline for submission of data to GAD								
Contro	ols	funding level incorrect. Failure to meet regulatory deadline for submission of data to GAD. The Pensions Office works with employers to obtain accurate and timely data. A Pensions Administration Strategy is in place. Comprehensive data cleansing and data checks are carried out by the Pensions Office and the Actuary. For the 2022 valuation the Actuary has undertaken a data check. TPR data cleansing strategy first implemented from 2014 for Common Data and Scheme Specific Data in 2018. Most recent scores from 2023 were 99.9% for common data and 99.6% for scheme specific data. Data improvement plan in place. Engaging with employers and informing Committee and Board.								

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain		_		
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable		_		
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible	XXXXX			
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	Minor	Strategy	Treat				
Action Required	Undertake audit review in the year commencing 2023/24 on the approach to data quality assessments.						
	Monitor the impact of McCloud and Dashboards on general data quality.						

	Ref.	F5	Risk Owner	Principal Governance & Funding Manager	Updated	As G1		
Risk				ith a deficit when an employer leaves with ion for the deficit.				
Impact	guara	Financial loss to the Fund that has to be made up by a guarantor / insurer. In the absence of a guarantor, the funding gap would be met by the remaining employers in the Fund.						
Controls	reaso emplo and b Subst of a d Unive from t North previo	nable. Coyer is knoonds who cantial asseticiency ersities had the 2019 umberlar pusly part	consider a own to be ere possil surance. for all ex ve been valuation nd in resp cicipated i employer	egy that reduces deficits as quickly as is er additional contributions when an be leaving the Fund. Obtain guarantees essible. Deloitte audit in 2021/22 gave e.e. The regulations require the payment exiting employers. Colleges and en moved to an intermediate discount rate ion. Guarantees provided by espect of certain admitted bodies who ed in NCCPF. Covenant assessment yers undertaken in 2023. FSS updated				

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod Xxxxx	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat / Transfer
----------	----------	----------	------------------

Action Required	

		Ref.	F8	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		Employees do not join or remain in the Fund, either for financial reasons, regulatory change or because their employer does not promote the scheme or structures employees away from the Scheme (scheduled and admitted bodies) or prevents membership (admitted bodies only). Fewer new starters re use of short-term contracts by employers allied to the two year vesting period in the new scheme.					
Impac	t	than i	t would o		to the Fund. Fund Potential for incr		
Contro	ols	contributions. Take action against employers who fail to comply with regulations on enrolment. Where possible, promote benefits of scheme membership, whilst recognising that this is sometimes not favoured by employers. Following a consultation which suggested that colleges and universities may be allowed to close to new membership a communication has been sent to the Colleges and Universities notifying them of the potential change in approach the Fund may adopt at the next valuation should they close to new membership. Ensure members are provided with appropriate information to make informed decisions.					

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal		S	Significant	Substantial
Near Certai	Mod i n	High		Cr	ritical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High xxxxx		Critical		Critical
Possible	Min Mod Possible			High		Critical
Improbable	Min	Min		Mo	od	High
Net Risk	High		Strategy		Tolerate	
Action Required	Develop an Employer engagement Strategy. Develop a Member Engagement Strategy. Continue to monitor opt-outs.					

	Ref.	L1	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk	Failure to apply the Regulations correctly in relation to benefits, especially where changes may be retrospective (eg McCloud).					
Impact	Breach of Regulations. Benefits not paid correctly to members. Risk of adverse publicity. Censure by tPR, IDRP and Pensions Ombudsman. Risk of financial penalties.					
Controls	Resources are applied to interpretation of Regulations. Checks are made on the software from the design stage. High degree of check built into the system and processes. Staff training. Cross reference opinions on regulations to other funds, the Actuary and DLUHC. Legal Services are involved in the interpretation of the Regulations. Plan exists for implementing McCloud.					tage. High s. Staff other

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable		XXXXX		
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible			_	
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	High	Strategy	Treat
Action Required			

	Ref.	L4	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk	The Fund fails to comply with General Data Protection Regulations or has a data protection breach.					
Impact	Enforcement action, service disruption, costs, adverse publicity.					
Controls	Corporate procedures are followed. In addition pensions service specific controls are applied. GDPR Action plan produced, and all key actions completed by GDPR implementation date. Internal Audit of GDPR and Data Security undertaken in 2018/19 which provided substantial assurance. STC has implemented an e-learning approach to GDPR. A log of all data protection breaches is maintained, which includes action taken to improve. Moving to a third party printing supplier which reduces the risk of data breaches Mandatory cyber security and data protection e-learning for all staff. Annual report to Local Pension Board. Staff are required to undertake e-learning modules and completion of these modules is monitored. Implementation plan for Dashboards includes data security.				olan ata stantial proach to ntained, a third a breaches. ning for all	

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Xxxxx			
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible				
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
----------	----------	----------	-------

Action Required	Review change controls within the Pensions Admin system. Provide a presentation to Committee and Board once STC policies are updated.
--------------------	---

No.	xxxx	Ref.	L5	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		The Fund provides inappropriate or incorrect information and guidance to a member.					
Impac	ct	Inferior service to member. Risk of public censure and financial penalties imposed by regulators. Risk of requirement to pay restitution.					
Controls General issues are addressed through staff advised that the Fund cannot give advice. Ongoing review of communications to ensure information guidance offered is in line with respectively.				sure that th	e		

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod Xxxxx	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk Moderate	Strategy	Treat
-------------------	----------	-------

Action	Develop a formal control document for amendments and reviews
Required	of template communications.
-	

No.	xxxx	Ref.	L6	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk	Risk Failure to manage member related tax correctly.						
Impac	ct			•	ayments to the fu may be comprom		mbers.
Periodic audits are carried out on management of tax by audit partner. Increased awareness by staff. Information include in ABS and other member communication. A further audit of Tax allowances was undertaken in 2021/22 and no specific actions identified that are not already in place. Correspondence is sent to members with known Annual Allowance issues and/or those approaching their lifetime allowance.				n included r audit on specific nual			

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Noor Contoin	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible	XXXXX			
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				

Net Risk	Minor	Strategy	Treat
Action Required	Annual review of pensions provides correct information	_	tatement to ensure the fund pers.

No.	xxxx	Ref.	L7	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		The Fund fails to identify an issue with the health and wellbeing and financial safety of members.					
Impac	ct	A potential or actual issue arises, and the appropriate action is not taken. Potential negative publicity.					te action is
Contr	Protocol issued to staff in March 2015. Staff are required report issues upwards to senior management, Legal Team and to the appropriate safeguarding team in the Council at or the Police. Due diligence process on pensions transfers covers pensi scams. This took account of the changes and awareness campaign of the Pensions Regulator.					Team uncil and pension	

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min xxxxx	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Minor	Strategy	Treat		
Action Required	Review the Protocol and training to be delivered.				

No.	xxxx	Ref.	L8	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		A trar	nsfer value	e is incorr	ectly managed.		
Impact Potential for fines by Regulator and Ombue and adverse publicity. Financial loss by m tax liabilities.					•	-	
Cont	rols	practi introd Syste	ces have uced. Leg m in place dure have	been ado gal and S e re Freed	rt staff and membe pted. Strengthene enior Managemen dom and Choice. dertaken and repo	ed disclaiment of review of Reviews of	er cases. the transfer

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable		_		
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible	XXXXX			
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				

Net Risk Minor Strategy Treat

Action Required	Join Pensions Scams Industry Group pledge. Monitor the position of claims management companies.
--------------------	---

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S1	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1	
Risk			Benefits and payments are accessed by individuals who are not entitled to receive them.					
Impa	ct	Financial loss.						
Contr	ols	bank pensi paym Conti Datab which	detail che ons paid ents to th nued use oase have	ecks. Sel to studen ird partie of Tell U e improve s addition	uilt into system. Nective eligibility cles. Appointeeships. Participate in Nection Source and the Section departments on the Section of the S	hecks, e.g o process in IFI program cheme's N to Western	child's place for nme. ational NI Union	

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain	XXXXX			
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible			_	
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
----------	----------	----------	-------

Action Required	Review overpayments of pension procedure by Investment Team
--------------------	---

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S2	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1		
Risk		in a ti	The pensions processing software system is not fully updated in a timely manner and poor version control leads to a deterioration in the functionality.						
Impa	ct	efficie Additi	Unable to carry out aspects of pensions administration efficiently in line with regulations without manual intervention. Additional working costs. Service to employers and members is compromised.						
Controls		use of client meeting Take version Testing of new addition Civical IT Ch	Ongoing monitoring of the performance of Civica through the use of Change Request Forms, participation in the user group, client manager meetings and monthly issue resolution meetings. Take a risk-based approach to implementation to new versions of the system. Testing and sign off process established following the release of new versions of the software. New contract provides additional controls on performance. Civica has attended Pensions Committee when required. IT Change Management Audit undertaken in 2022/23 with substantial assurance.						

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High xxxxx	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
Action	Consider moving from an product and also consider Implement ICT Change Merchange for introduction of the control of the contro	r hosted / no	ot-hosted.
Required		lanagement	t audit recommendations.

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S3	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1		
Risk		acros	s pensior	ns proces	ntroduce improve sing, particularly ng and communic	increased a			
Impact Increased costs of processing. Additional processing backlogs arise. Statutory targets and local performance indicators are not met. Inferior service to employers a members. Risk of public censure and financial penaltic					ance and alties				
Conti	ols	Develof wo staff. Monitoption emploavaila Monitoption to Control The mana	imposed by regulators. Risk of requirement to pay restitution. Development plans are in place but delayed due to high levels of workload and impact of hybrid working and turnover of staff. Monitor progress and report to Committee and Board. The option of submitting data in hard copy has been removed for employers and where appropriate electronic means are available for Scheme members. Monitor progress against service plans and continue to report to Committee. The new structure includes the introduction of a development Manager and small team will focus on driving developments forward and identifying areas for improvement.						

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High xxxxx	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net R	isk	High	Strategy	Treat
-------	-----	------	----------	-------

Action Required	Continue to recruit to vacant posts and develop staff.

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S6	Risk Owner	Head of Pensions	Updated	As G1
Risk	Risk Key people leave, and this places a strain on remaining resources to cover the role and responsibilities.					ning	
Impac	ct	Failure to deliver an adequate service. Increased stress and pressure of working.					ress and
Controls Structure kept under review. Continual review by senior managers of succession planning. Training programmes in place. Manuals and service planning in place. Annual Review process in place							

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High XXXXX	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk High Strategy Treat	
------------------------------	--

Action Required	Review succession planning.
--------------------	-----------------------------

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S7	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		Performance against Disclosure Regulations fails to deliver at an appropriate level.					deliver at
Impad	ct	Breach of Regulations. Risk of adverse publicity. Censure by TPR, IDRP and Pensions Ombudsman. Risk of financial penalties.					•
Contr	New structure provides greater focus and responsibility in defined areas. Employer Services team will introduce improved liaison and training for employers. Managers reporting back to senior management who in turn report to Committee and Board. Training is available. (Note a strict interpretation is that 100% is required, but this will never be achieved). Implemented new management information. Breaches policy in place with monitoring in place. Utilising automation and digitisation wherever possible.				ers port to a strict ever be tion.		

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain		XXXXX		
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible				
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	High	Strategy	Treat
----------	------	----------	-------

Action Required	
	Continue to recruit to vacant posts and develop staff.

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S9	Risk Owner	Head of Pensions	Updated	As G1
Risk Insufficient resources create problems in service delivery.						ery.	
Impa	ict	Issues with service delivery and meeting performance standards. This can also lead to significant backlogs building up. Additional work re Pooling until all assets are invested with BCPP which may impact on staff time. In addition, the move to hybrid working impacting the productivity of the service.					
Controls Monitoring structure and key person risk. Training in place. Work flexibly re staff allocation. Working overtime selectively Temporary staff are being used. Moved to electronic process and member web has improved efficiency. Risk based approapplied to processing.				ectively. processing			

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High XXXXX	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	High	Strategy	Treat	
----------	------	----------	-------	--

Action Required	
	Continue to recruit to vacant posts and develop staff.
	Continue to explore with ICT access protocols to allow third party providers to assist with pensions processing.

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S10	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1
Risk		Failure to fully rectify the members GMP values following the GMP reconciliation process.					
Impa	ıct	Potential for payment of pensions without an entitlement. May overpay or underpay pensions increases. Additional liability for the Fund.					
Controls Software has been purchased to review and reconcile data. The exercise is complete. Now the new software contract is in placed the Fund will explore purchasing the rectification module to first establish all underpay or over payments.					s in place		

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
Near Certain	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Possible	Min	Mod xxxxx	High	Critical
Improbable	Min	Min	Mod	High

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
----------	----------	----------	-------

Action	Need to undertake the full rectification.
Required	

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S12	Risk Owner	Head of Pensions	Updated	As G1
Risk		There is a risk of a Cyber Security attack corrupting systems and data and or stealing data. (See also L4 GDPR).					
Impa	ict				e and reputational controls are cons		
Cont	rols	The Fund fits within the Councils main control environment. Business continuity plans are in place. The Council is Public Service Network compliant, with an annual test. The Pension Fund administration system has an independent penetration tes High level of staff awareness of malicious emails. Corporate review of Business continuity plans ongoing, Pensions staff participating. Sit on Council's Cyber Security Working Group. A presentation on Cyber Security has been made to the Board and the Committee. During the Covid-19 pandemic the ICT department introduced additional controls over emails received and home working arrangements. STC has provided mandatory e-learning training modules on cyber security. 2022 Audit of Cyber Security came back with substantial assurance. Staff are required to undertake e-learning modules and completion of these modules is monitored. Cyber security added to the work programme for the Local Pension Board.					

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible			XXXXX	
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				

Net Risk	High	Strategy	Treat
Action Required	Implement the recommen Audit. Continue to meet with ICT Deliver Cyber Security Tratecal Pension Board.	-	n the 2022 Cyber Security

No.	xxxx	Ref.	S13	Risk Owner	Principal Pensions Manager	Updated	As G1	
Risk								
Impa	act	Individual members cannot access services online. This results in a reduced service to members and increases pressure on other modes of communication and information provision such as the helpline and by post. Employers cannot provide information to the Fund to process benefits and effectively maintain member records. Reputational impact if the system is continually unavailable.						
Cont	trols	System scans and regular penetration tests are in place to ensure web services is not vulnerable to attack. Testing is in place prior to new modules going live. Within ICT there is a scheduled restart the servers running web services each evening. Microsoft SCOM monitoring is used which monitors the availability of the servers and the website. Any errors are displayed on screen, with emails sent to the Platform Team. In addition Pensions Systems Team have a morning routine to check web services is available. Civica UPM service desk manages any issues with web services with downtime allocated highest priority.						

Impact / Likelihood	Negligible	Marginal	Significant	Substantial
N 0 1 1	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Near Certain				
	Mod	High	Critical	Critical
Probable				
	Min	Mod	High	Critical
Possible		XXXXX		
	Min	Min	Mod	High
Improbable				_

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
Action Required	Review out of hours support	ort.	

		Re	ef. S14	Risk	Head of		Updated	As G1		
Risk	Owner Pensions									
Impac	t	pe pe	Reduced productivity means that backlogs build up and performance against disclosure requirements and key performance indicators deteriorate. Overall the service to members and employers suffers.							
Contro	ols	em Co A r op eff ret ser	The Council has significantly increased the bandwidth for employees working from home and remotely accessing the Council's systems. A review of processes has been undertaken to streamline operations where possible to make remote working more efficient (for example the uploading of certificates). Staff have returned to the office to ensure a balanced approach to service delivery. Participate in the Council's Modern Workplace Programme Work with ICT and Civica to identify areas for improvement.							
Impact / Negligible Marginal Significant Subst					Substantial					
Near (Certai	n	Mod	High		Critical	С	ritical		
Proba			Mod	High x	xxxx	Critical	С	ritical		
Possible			Min	Mod		High	С	ritical		
Min Min Mod H						igh				
Net Ri	isk		Moderate Strategy Treat					t		
	Action Required Management to consider current working practices. Improve management information.									

		Ref.	S16	Risk	Head of		Updated	As G1	
				Owner	Pensions	S		7.00.	
Risk	Risk Risk that staff suffer either physical or mental health issues a a result of changing working practices (including potentially prolonged working at home and in isolation).								
Impac	et	Loss of resource which will lead to a deterioration in service standards, an increase in backlogs and a reduction is performance against disclosure regulations and key performance indicators.							
Contro	ols	Staff with health and wellbeing issues are able to work in the office. The Council has made a counselling service available to all staff on self-referral. Staff are encouraged to come into the office regularly. Risk assessments have been undertaken in line with the Council's approach and actions implemented. Annual review process and system of 1-2-1 ensures regular contact with staff.							
Impact / Likelihood		N	legligible	e Ma	rginal	Signif	icant S	ubstantial	
Near (Near Certain		od	High		Critical		itical	
Probable		Mo	od	High		Critical Cr		itical	
Possible		Mi	n	Mod x	xxxx	High		itical	
Impro	bable	Mi	n	Min			Mod High		
Net Ri	isk	[Moderate Strategy Treat						

Net Risk	Moderate	Strategy	Treat
Action Required	Consider wellbeing in the practices.	manageme	ent review of working

		Re	ef.	S17	Risk Owner	Head of Pension		Updated	As G1	
Risk	The Fund's AVC service provider is no longer committed to the LGPS market which impacts on the service standard provided.									
Impac	t	im	A deterioration in the service provider's standards could impact on the Fund's own service standards which could also impact on the value of members' benefits.							
Contro	ols	qu Re Fu re re tha	Ongoing monitoring of the service provider with at least quarterly meetings and regular engagement outside of those meetings. Issues raised at national and regional groups. Report made to the Pensions Regulator. Consulting the Fund's Investment Advisor on action to take, including a review of the Fund's AVC service provider. A high-level review by the Investment Advisor in November 2022 stated that the current provider remains acceptable at this time. Due diligence completed in relation to current and potential providers and no further action appropriate at this time.							
Impact / Negligible Marginal Significant				ficant	Substantial					
Near (Certai	n	Мс	od	High	3		1 (Critical	
Proba	ble		Мс	od	High		Critical	1	Critical	
Possi	Possible			n	Mod x	xxxx	High	(Critical	
Min Min M					Mod	ŀ	ligh			
Net Ri	sk	Moderate Strategy Treat					at			
Actior Requi	equired Undertake annual review of AVC provision.									

г

background papers background papers

Risk Management and Internal Audit

The following is a list of the background papers (excluding exempt papers) relied upon in the preparation of the above report:

Background Paper	File Ref:	File Location
Risk Register	n/a	Head of Pensions Office, South Shields Town Hall
Internal Audit Reports	n/a	Head of Pensions Office, South Shields Town Hall

Contact Officer: